

Qualitative measures:			Key to direction of travel:			
Positive	Similar	Negative	Increase 10% or more	Similar	Decrease 10% or more	
			↑	→	↓	

Ref.	Indicator	Owner	Reporter	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	% change from previous month	% change from same month prev. yr	DoT	12 month average	12-mnth max value	Percentage ?	Benchmarking			Commentary (May-17):
																												Stat. Neighbour	England	SE region	
CP1	Number of Section 47 (S47) enquiries started	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	86	120	98	93	105	139	126	126	101	89	106	109	56	92	92	111	93	120	↑ 29%	↓ -14%		105	139	-	103	14358	2553	
CP1-NI	Section 47 (S47) enquiries rate per 10,000 children	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	18	25	20	19	22	29	26	26	21	18	22	22	11	19	19	23	19	24	↑ 26%	↓ -17%		21	29	-	17	12	13	
CP2	Number of children subject to Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs), excluding transfer ins and temporary registrations	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	36	60	37	49	32	48	56	62	41	19	17	48	16	45	23	34	19	37	↑ 95%	↓ -23%		36	62	-	39	6088	50	The number of children subject to ICPC is higher than April 2017, but, closer to the 12 month average of 36. Larger family groups can have an impact on this indicator and in May there were 5 families with >3 children.
CP2b	Number of transfer-ins	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	3	0	3	4	0	0	- n/a	- n/a		2	4	-	Local	Local	Local	There were no transfer ins in May. Results of the transfer-in audit have been presented to the Performance Management Board. Service Managers are working with counterparts in Hampshire to agree a protocol to support good practice in this area.
CP2b %	Percentage of transfer-ins where child became subject to a CP Plan during period	Jane White	Sarah Ward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	- n/a	- n/a		25.9%	100.0%	P	-	-	-	
CP2-NI	Rate per 10,000 Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs)	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	8	13	8	10	7	10	11	13	8	4	3	10	3	9	5	8	4	8	↑ 95%	↓ -25%		7	13	-	6	5	5	The rate is slightly higher than the 12 month average and SN, national and regional averages. However, the number of ICPCs will have had an impact.
CP3-QL	Percentage of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) held within timescales (based on count of children)	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	75.0%	70.0%	40.5%	75.5%	22.3%	100.0%	58.9%	56.5%	63.4%	73.7%	70.6%	45.8%	62.5%	48.9%	21.7%	79.4%	78.9%	91.9%	↑ 16%	→ 8%	▲	65.6%	100.0%	P	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	We continue to use the 'live time' tracker and the CP Team Manager engages with teams where it looks like a conference may be out of time. We are much clearer on those cases where there is a good reason for the conference taking place out of timescales - for example if further key information needs to be sought.
CP3-QL (val)	Number of children subject to Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) which were held within timescales (excludes transfer-ins)	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	27	42	15	37	16	46	33	35	26	14	12	22	10	22	5	27	15	34	↑ 127%	↓ -26%	▲	23	46	-	Local	Local	Local	See above CP3-QL
CP4	Percentage of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) resulting in a Child Protection Plan (based on count of children)	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	86.1%	95.0%	91.9%	89.8%	59.4%	66.7%	80.4%	91.9%	82.9%	89.5%	88.2%	91.7%	100.0%	84.4%	69.6%	94.1%	89.5%	70.3%	↓ 21%	→ 5%	▲	84.5%	100.0%	P	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	The conversion from conference to plan is lower than the 12 month average (85.4%). There does not appear to be a trend here (although conversion in February was also low). Again, the impact of larger family groups can be a factor. The results of an audit covering cases stepped down to Child in Need Planning from conference will be presented to the Performance Management Board in July 2017.
CP4 (val)	Number of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) resulting in a Child Protection Plan (based on count of children) (excludes transfer-ins)	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	31	57	34	44	19	32	45	57	34	17	15	44	16	38	16	32	17	26	↑ 53%	↓ -19%	▲	29.92	57.00	-	34	5276	43	See above CP4
CP5-QL	Percentage of new Child Protection Plans (CPP) where child had previously been subject of a CPP at any time	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	35.5%	15.8%	47.1%	20.5%	15.8%	6.3%	17.8%	31.6%	2.9%	29.4%	46.7%	34.1%	12.5%	44.7%	25.0%	2.9%	33.3%	19.2%	↓ -42%	↑ 208%	▼	23.6%	46.7%	P	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	This month's % is lower than the 12 month average; but, there is no clear pattern or trend. What we do understand from our audit and data analysis is that emotional abuse (EA) and neglect feature heavily in respect of repeat child protection planning and we have action planned accordingly.
CP5-QL (val)	Number of new Child Protection Plans (CPP) where child had previously been subject of a CPP at any time	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	11	9	16	9	3	2	8	18	1	5	7	15	2	17	4	1	6	5	↓ -17%	↑ 150%	▼	7	18	-	6	946	168	See above - CP5-QL
CP6B	Number of children with a Child Protection Plan at the end of the month, excluding temporary registrations	Jane White	Stuart Webb	315	346	344	337	310	306	317	335	360	349	329	344	319	328	295	282	277	255	→ -8%	↓ -17%		315	360	-	323	50310	425	The number of children subject to CP planning continues to reduce. See above, CP4 regarding step down audit, to test out the quality of CIN planning.
CP6B-NI	Child Protection Plan (CPP) rate per 10,000	Jane White	Stuart Webb	66	72	72	70	65	64	64	68	73	71	67	70	65	67	60	57	56	52	→ -7%	↓ -19%		64	73	-	4	4	4	See above - CP6B
CP7	Number of ceasing Child Protection Plans, excluding temporary registrations	Jane White	Stuart Webb	43	24	38	52	43	39	28	37	14	25	32	29	46	29	50	42	26	48	↑ 85%	↑ 23%	▲	34	50	-	35	5229	42	The number of plans ceasing is towards the higher end of the 12 month comparison data and higher than our SN. Ongoing audit activity will test out the work undertaken when plans cease.
CP8-QL	Percentage of children subject to a Child Protection Plan seen in the last 15 working days.	Jane White	Sarah Ward	59.0%	76.6%	85.2%	73.0%	71.6%	68.3%	76.0%	68.0%	64.0%	64.0%	67.0%	77.0%	86.0%	87.0%	91.0%	94.0%	90.0%	89.0%	→ -1%	↑ 30%	▲	78.6%	94.0%	P	-	-	-	

Ref.	Indicator	Owner	Reporter	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	% change from previous month	% change from same month prev. yr	DoT	12 month average	12-mnth max value	Percentage ?	Stat. Neighbour	England	SE region	Commentary (May-17):
CP9	Number of children subject to Review Child Protection Conferences (RCPs) in the month	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	102	86	93	113	100	91	64	105	59	101	89	86	84	68	90	94	70	94	↑ 34%	→ 3%		84	105	-	Local	Local	Local	There were a similar number of reviews undertaken to March and February. Recent recruitment activity has ensured that the CP team have the capacity to cover Initial and Review CPCs.
EH1a	Number of Universal Help Assessments (UHAs) started in the month	Jo Casey	Jason Murphy	-	-	-	-	77	57	58	24	21	39	35	49	21	34	29	34	38	30	↓ -21%	↓ -47%		36	58	-	Local	Local	Local	Commentary and associated issues remain the same as April 17 - April commentary: "This measure is subject to review and will in future measure the number of interventions held at the equivalent of UHA/EHA level."
EH1b	Number of Universal Help Plans (UHPs) opened in the month (includes UHPs completed, and those still open at end of period)	Jo Casey	Jason Murphy	-	-	-	-	367	368	297	170	121	107	99	113	92	124	121	122	122	123	→ 1%	↓ -67%		152	368	-	Local	Local	Local	Commentary and associated issues remain the same as April 17 - these measures are of little value without a mechanism and capacity to capture activity outside of PARIS/SCC services. April commentary: "As above - this is a measure based on activity within PARIS that is no longer measured with the introduction of the EHA which is not built into PARIS; in future this should be viewed as an external measure of all Early Help activity across a range of services, both within SCC and outside."
EH2	Number of Children In Need (CIN) at end of period (all open cases, excluding UHPs, UHAs, CPP and LAC)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	1250	1270	1313	1347	1378	1374	1424	1439	1271	1298	1271	944	1001	955	974	967	1017	1043	→ 3%	↓ -24%		1152	1439	-	Local	Local	Local	-
EH3	Number of Single Assessments completed	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	185	266	214	249	283	297	204	165	301	206	264	291	123	187	122	214	137	193	↑ 41%	↓ -35%		208	301	-	295	47637	401	-
EH3a%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 10 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	-	12.3%	11.8%	14.4%	5.6%	10.7%	13.0%	14.9%	14.9%	10.9%	8.7%	8.0%	15.5%	9.0%	6.2%	7.5%	7.3%	7.3%	→ -1%	↓ -32%	▲	10.3%	15.5%	P	-	-	-	-
EH3b%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 11-25 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	-	14.3%	24.0%	22.7%	23.5%	33.3%	27.8%	27.5%	18.9%	20.0%	31.5%	29.6%	22.5%	26.5%	25.8%	22.9%	20.4%	15.0%	↓ -26%	↓ -55%	▲	24.7%	33.3%	P	-	-	-	-
EH3c%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 26-35 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	-	14.5%	19.1%	14.7%	13.4%	8.4%	25.7%	11.8%	16.6%	15.5%	10.9%	16.9%	15.7%	13.3%	2.0%	9.3%	8.8%	18.1%	↑ 107%	↑ 115%	▲	13.3%	25.7%	P	-	-	-	-
EH3d%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 36-45 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	-	10.4%	9.6%	10.4%	12.2%	12.5%	11.7%	17.1%	17.3%	16.8%	6.3%	9.9%	24.3%	14.3%	8.2%	34.6%	35.0%	38.9%	↑ 11%	↑ 212%	▲	19.0%	38.9%	P	-	-	-	-
EH3e%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed over 45 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	35.1%	48.5%	35.5%	37.9%	45.3%	35.2%	21.8%	28.7%	32.3%	36.8%	42.6%	35.8%	22.1%	37.0%	57.9%	25.7%	28.5%	20.7%	↓ -27%	↓ -41%	▼	32.7%	57.9%	P	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	-
EH4 (val)	Number of Single Assessments (SA) completed in 45 working days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	120	134	131	151	153	189	159	115	205	132	154	184	92	118	50	159	98	153	↑ 56%	↓ -19%	▲	139	205	-	254	39746	331	-
EH4-QL	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed in 45 working days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	64.9%	50.4%	61.2%	60.6%	54.1%	63.6%	77.9%	69.7%	68.1%	64.1%	58.3%	63.2%	74.8%	63.0%	41.0%	74.0%	72.0%	79.0%	↑ 10%	↑ 24%	▲	66.8%	79.0%	P	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	-
EH5-QL	Number of children open to the authority who have been missing at any point in the period (count of children)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	26	22	23	37	41	50	52	47	40	56	67	58	31	50	35	45	40	48	↑ 20%	→ 4%	▼	48	67	-	Local	Local	Local	-
LAC1	Number of Looked after Children at end of period	Jane White	Sharon Hawkins	606	605	605	591	592	609	611	612	603	606	605	602	586	584	568	542	546	536	→ -2%	↓ -12%	▼	585	612	-	450	70440	9880	Continued and gradual decline in numbers of CIC, with a drive to be consistent in thresholds for entry into care and to ensure that the correct children and young people receive CIC status.
LAC10 (%)	Percentage of Looked after Children with an authorised CLA plan	Jane White	Sharon Hawkins	82.8%	84.1%	86.6%	86.8%	87.5%	88.2%	89.9%	89.5%	90.0%	89.9%	90.4%	90.5%	92.2%	94.3%	94.5%	94.1%	95.4%	94.8%	→ -1%	→ 7%	▲	91.8%	95.4%	P	-	-	-	-Consistent level of children and young people having care plans within timescale. There does however need to be a general review of the care planning and reviewing process.
LAC10-QL	Number of Looked after Children with an authorised CLA Plan	Jane White	Sharon Hawkins	502	509	524	513	518	537	549	548	543	545	547	545	540	551	537	510	521	508	→ -2%	→ -5%	▲	537	551	-	Local	Local	Local	-Consistent level of children and young people having care plans within timescale. There does however need to be a general review of the care planning and reviewing process.
LAC11-QL	Number of Looked after Children aged 16+ or open Care Leavers with an authorised Pathway Plan	Jane White	Julian Watkins	151	152	156	157	159	157	156	155	152	150	155	131	132	149	153	152	149	149	→ 0%	→ -5%	▲	149	157	-	Local	Local	Local	-This remains at a fairly consistent level with minor changes in performance linked in the main to staff sickness and leave which creates a very slight variance.
LAC11-QL (%)	Percentage of Looked after Children aged 16+ or open Care Leavers with an authorised Pathway Plan	Jane White	Julian Watkins	-	-	63.4%	63.6%	64.9%	63.3%	61.7%	61.3%	60.0%	60.0%	61.0%	88.0%	87.0%	92.0%	93.0%	95.0%	93.0%	91.0%	→ -2%	↑ 44%	▲	77.4%	95.0%	P	-	-	-	As above (LAC11-QL)
LAC12 (%)	Percentage of Special Guardianship Orders (SGOs) (E43, E44)	Jane White	Julian Watkins	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	4.3%	11.1%	33.3%	20.0%	0.0%	5.6%	23.5%	21.4%	5.6%	10.0%	0.0%	38.9%	14.7%	0.0%	10.5%	- n/a	↓ -88%	▲	14.1%	38.9%	P	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	We are working on 26 SGO assessments, which relates to 42 children, 9 of whom are not Looked After Children (but are known to the department). Applications for 6 of the children are currently in court and I anticipate applications for a further children shortly.
LAC12 (val)	Number of Special Guardianship Orders (SGOs) (E43, E44)	Jane White	Julian Watkins	0	2	0	1	2	3	2	0	1	4	3	1	2	0	7	5	0	2	- n/a	↓ -33%		2	7	-	2	319	38	We are working on 26 SGO assessments, which relates to 42 children, 9 of whom are not Looked After Children (but are known to the department). Applications for 6 of the children are currently in court and I anticipate applications for a further children shortly.
LAC13	Number of current unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children looked after at end of period	Jane White	Sharon Hawkins	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	6	5	5	7	11	10	10	11	11	11	10	→ -9%	- n/a		8	11	-	17	4210	1350	This remains at a consistent level.
LAC14	Number of new unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children	Jane White	Sharon Hawkins	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	2	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	- n/a	- n/a		1	4	-	Local	Local	Local	-As above (LAC-13)

Ref.	Indicator	Owner	Reporter	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	% change from previous month	% change from same month prev. yr	DoT	12 month average	12-mnth max value	Percentage ?	Stat. Neighbour	England	SE region	Commentary (May-17):
LAC1-NI	Looked after Children rate per 10,000	Jane White	Sharon Hawkins	127	126	126	123	124	127	124	125	123	123	123	122	119	119	116	110	111	109	→ -2%	↓ -14%		119	127	-	76	60	52	This is a consistent gradual decline and is an appropriate direction of travel, however there remains work to complete to fall in line with statistical neighbours. The downward trend does show however positive work is being achieved across to prevent escalation to this level of intervention.
LAC2	Number of new Looked after Children (episodes)	Jane White	Sharon Hawkins	6	14	16	16	24	16	13	11	8	25	8	14	7	7	2	8	9	9	→ 0%	↓ -44%	▼	11	25	-	17	2671	22	-As above (LAC1-NI)
LAC3	Number of ceasing Looked after Children (episodes)	Jane White	Sharon Hawkins	9	14	10	23	18	9	10	14	18	17	14	18	20	10	18	34	3	19	↑ 533%	↑ 111%	▲	16	34	-	17	2643	22	There is a steady rate of children and young people leaving care, following the anomaly in April.
LAC6 (%)	Percentage of adoptions (E11, E12)	Jane White	Marian Watkins	33.3%	28.6%	10.0%	56.5%	27.8%	22.2%	30.0%	35.7%	50.0%	29.4%	35.7%	22.2%	15.0%	40.0%	27.8%	58.8%	0.0%	15.8%	- n/a	↓ -29%		29.4%	58.8%	P	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	-Following an abnormal month in March and therefore perhaps a lean April, May appears to have reverted to a more appropriate trend. There are several children however awaiting adoption and further plans to streamline permanency planning across the service are being considered currently.
LAC6 (val)	Number of adoptions (E11, E12)	Jane White	Julian Watkins	3	4	1	13	5	2	3	5	9	5	5	4	3	4	5	20	0	3	- n/a	↑ 50%		5	20	-	3	391	55	-Following an abnormal month in March and therefore perhaps a lean April, May appears to have reverted to a more appropriate trend. There are several children however awaiting adoption and further plans to streamline permanency planning across the service are being considered currently.
LAC7-QL	Percentage of Looked after Children visited within timescales	Jane White	Sharon Hawkins	69.8%	65.6%	71.1%	59.1%	76.5%	75.0%	73.0%	66.0%	66.0%	69.0%	70.0%	76.0%	76.0%	82.0%	86.0%	83.0%	79.0%	84.0%	→ 6%	↑ 12%	▲	75.8%	86.0%	P	-	-	-	- This area still shows a generally positive trend, however there is still room for improvement and no room for complacency. This is core business for Looked After Children.
LAC9	Percentage of IFA placements (of all looked after children)	Jane White	Julian Watkins	29.9%	30.2%	29.1%	28.6%	30.6%	28.7%	26.7%	26.5%	25.9%	24.9%	26.0%	26.4%	27.0%	26.0%	26.1%	25.6%	26.6%	26.9%	→ 1%	→ -7%	▼	26.4%	28.7%	P	-	-	-	-The number of children being cared for in IFA placements remains largely consistent over the last four months, but does show a gradual decline which is positive. There is further work being completed to consider recruitment of "in house" carers, and some more specialist placements, which if successful should continue this trend in the future.
LAC9 (val)	Number of IFA placements	Jane White	Julian Watkins	181	183	176	169	181	175	163	162	156	151	157	159	158	152	148	139	145	144	→ -1%	↓ -18%	▼	155	175	-	Local	Local	Local	As above (LAC9)
NI147	Percentage of Care Leavers in contact and in suitable accommodation	Jane White	Mary Hardy	New	-	-	-	-	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	83.6%	88.0%	84.3%	→ -4%	- n/a	▲	1	1	--	-	-	-	-
M1	Number of contacts received (includes contacts that become referrals)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	1053	1154	1013	1179	1062	1411	1256	1395	1377	1480	1547	1534	1260	1466	1510	1753	1278	1605	↑ 26%	↑ 14%		1452	1753	-	Local	Local	Local	-
M2	Number of new referrals of Children In Need (CIN)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	302	346	326	306	267	324	334	373	300	417	320	208	198	270	288	287	244	333	↑ 36%	→ 3%		300	417	-	359	51789	8147	-
M2-NI	Number of new referrals of Children in Need (CIN) rate per 10,000 (0-17 year olds)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	63	72	68	64	56	68	70	76	61	85	65	42	40	55	59	58	50	68	↑ 36%	→ 1%		61	85	-	59	44	42	-
M3	Percentage of all contacts that become new referrals of Children In Need (CIN)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	28.7%	30.0%	32.2%	26.0%	25.1%	23.0%	26.6%	26.7%	21.8%	28.2%	20.7%	13.6%	15.7%	18.4%	19.1%	16.4%	19.1%	20.7%	→ 9%	↓ -10%		20.8%	28.2%	P	-	-	-	-
M4	Number of new referrals of children aged 13+ where child sexual exploitation was a factor	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	5	7	6	5	6	6	4	3	3	6	3	2	0	1	3	0	1	2	↑ 100%	↓ -67%		3	6	-	Local	Local	Local	-
M5	Number of children receiving Universal Help services who are stepped up for Children In Need (CIN) assessment	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	14	17	28	22	29	41	36	28	37	22	27	30	25	27	31	3	7	7	→ 0%	↓ -83%		25	41	-	Local	Local	Local	-
M6-QL	Percentage of referrals which are re-referrals within one year of a closure assessment	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	22.8%	20.8%	15.6%	21.9%	19.9%	26.2%	23.7%	20.1%	20.7%	19.7%	23.1%	20.2%	14.6%	16.7%	19.8%	22.0%	22.0%	18.0%	↓ -18%	↓ -31%	▼	20.5%	26.2%	P	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	-
M6-QL (val)	Number of referrals which are re-referrals within one year of a closure assessment	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	69	72	51	67	53	85	79	75	62	82	74	42	29	45	57	63	54	60	↑ 11%	↓ -29%	▼	62	85	-	Local	Local	Local	-
M8-QL	Percentage of referrals dealt with by MASH where time from referral received / recorded to completion by MASH was 24 hours / 1 working day or less	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	61.0%	72.0%	71.4%	80.2%	78.9%	73.0%	79.1%	75.0%	79.0%	76.0%	81.0%	91.0%	90.0%	88.0%	87.0%	84.0%	81.0%	83.0%	→ 2%	↑ 14%	▲	82.1%	91.0%	P	-	-	-	-